

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
FEB 19 2009

277 SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314  
TELEPHONE: (703) 836-6400  
FACSIMILE: (703) 836-2787  
E-MAIL: EMAIL@OLIFF.COM  
WWW.OLIFF.COM

February 19, 2009

**U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET**

<p>To: In re the Application of Yoshiyuki SHIRAKAWA et al.</p> <p>Application No.: 10/584,838</p> <p>Filed: June 27, 2006</p> <p>For: DIRECTION FINDING RADIATION DETECTOR, AND RADIATION MONITORING METHOD AND APPARATUS</p>	<p><b>FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY DURING THE PERSONAL INTERVIEW <u>WITH THE EXAMINER</u></b></p> <p>Group Art Unit: 2884</p> <p>Docket No.: 128484</p>
---	---

Examiner: Y. GREEN

Facsimile: (571) 273-8300

From: Mike Steger

Prepared By: acd

Number of Pages Sent (Including cover sheet): 2

**Comments:**

Please see the attached Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form.

Sent by: acd

This facsimile is intended only for the use of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and contains confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this facsimile is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by facsimile or telephone, and return the facsimile to us by mail at the above address.

FEB 19 2009

## Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/584,838 First Named Applicant: MATSUYAMA  
 Examiner: Green Art Unit: 2884 Status of Application: Pending

## Tentative Participants:

(1) Michael Steger (2) Examiner Green  
 (3) Jesse Sukman (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Proposed Date of Interview: 2/23/2009 Proposed Time: 10 am (AM/PM)

## Type of Interview Requested:

(1)  Telephonic (2)  Personal (3)  Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated:  YES  NO

If yes, provide brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

## Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Applied Reference(s)	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>Brown, Ito</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>Rej</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Brown, Ito, Deliwala</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Continuation Sheet Attached

## Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

It is unreasonable for the Office Action to combine the currently-applied references in the manner suggested.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_

## NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)